

PHY-765 SS18 Gravitational Lensing Week 6

Magnifying Sources

Kasper B. Schmidt
Leibniz-Institut für Astrophysik Potsdam (AIP)

Last week

- Expressed the time delay between multiple images of a lens:

$$\Delta t = \Delta t_{\mathrm{Geometry}} - \Delta t_{\mathrm{Shapiro}}$$

- For the point mass lens we saw that the difference between two images is

$$t_+ - t_- \simeq -(1+z_{\mathrm{L}})\frac{D_{\mathrm{L}}D_{\mathrm{S}}}{cD_{\mathrm{LS}}}2c^2\theta_E\beta$$

- This enabled us to predict the right order of appearance of SN Refsdal
  - Looked at the full lens model precisions and actual re-appearance

- Described how time delays are also useful for
  - Lens model improvements
  - Determining cosmological parameters (H_0)

The aim of today

- Explore the second consequence of the lens equation: **magnification**
- Describe magnification in terms of the Jacobian
- Define magnification, shear, convergence (again), and parity of images
- Consider how images are magnified in the point mass lens and SIS/CIS
- Applications of magnification
  - Mapping the mass distribution in lenses
  - Finding high-redshift galaxies

Surface Brightness Conservation

- Surface brightness: the flux density per solid angle

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{F[\mathrm{Jy}]}{d\Omega[\mathrm{deg}^2]}$$

- Received flux goes down for distant sources with r^2
- Physical area observed in solid angle increases with r^2
- So surface brightness of any source is independent of r
- Gravitational lensing does not change surface brightness
  - but can change the *effective* area observed of the source
- Lenses focus light from the background source
- Hence, $\mathcal{S}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathcal{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$
- And the increase in observed brightness is given by

$$\frac{\mathcal{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta})d\Omega_{\text{lens plane}}}{\mathcal{S}(\boldsymbol{\beta})d\Omega_{\text{source plane}}} = \frac{\mathcal{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta})d\theta^2}{\mathcal{S}(\boldsymbol{\beta})d\beta^2} = \frac{F(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{F(\boldsymbol{\beta})} = \frac{d\theta^2}{d\beta^2} = \mu$$

Source Plane



Lens (Image) Plane



The Jacobian Matrix and Magnification

- The Jacobian Matrix is defined with indices $\boldsymbol{J}_{ij} \equiv \partial f_i / \partial x_j$
- It describes the mapping between coordinate systems via its determinant

$$|\det \boldsymbol{J}|\, du\, dv = dx\, dy$$

- $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ describes the mapping between source and lens plane
- So the Jacobian matrix for gravitational lensing (linearized locally) is

$$\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \beta_i}{\partial \theta_i} & \frac{\partial \beta_i}{\partial \theta_j} \\ \frac{\partial \beta_j}{\partial \theta_i} & \frac{\partial \beta_j}{\partial \theta_j} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Hence, the magnification μ (the ratio of the solid angles dθ & dβ - or fluxes) can be seen as a coordinate transformation, and expressed in terms of the Jacobian matrix:

$$\mu \equiv \det M(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{\det \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}$$

  - where the *magnification tensor is* defined as $M(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}$

The Jacobian Matrix and Magnification

- In week 3 we used that $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \nabla\psi$
- Inserting this and the lens equation into the Jacobian matrix we get

$$\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\partial\alpha_i}{\partial\theta_i} & -\frac{\partial\alpha_i}{\partial\theta_j} \\ -\frac{\partial\alpha_j}{\partial\theta_i} & 1 - \frac{\partial\alpha_j}{\partial\theta_j} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\theta_i^2} & -\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\theta_i\partial\theta_j} \\ -\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\theta_j\partial\theta_i} & 1 - \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\theta_j^2} \end{pmatrix} \equiv (\delta_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{ij})$$

- Where $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{ij}$ is the *distortion tensor* defined as

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{ij} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \kappa + \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_2 & \kappa - \gamma_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

  - using the *convergence* ($\boldsymbol{\kappa}$) and *shear* (γ)
  - and that $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ is symmetric, i.e., $M_{ij} = M_{ji}$

Convergence & Shear

- In week 3:
  - we defined the convergence as $\kappa(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \equiv \frac{\Sigma(D_{\mathrm{L}}\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\Sigma_{\mathrm{cr}}}$
  - and noted that the convergence satisfies the Poisson equation $\nabla^2\psi = 2\kappa$
- So from the definition of $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{ij}$ we can define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa &\equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\theta_i^2} + \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\theta_j^2}\right) \\
\gamma_1 &\equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\theta_i^2} - \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\theta_j^2}\right) \\
\gamma_2 &\equiv \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\theta_i\partial\theta_j}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Giving that the magnification of a lensed source is given by

$$\mu = \frac{1}{(1-\kappa)^2 - \gamma^2} \quad ; \quad \gamma^2 \equiv \gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2$$ (Exercise 3)

Convergence & Shear

- Consider a line of sight along which $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \neq 0$ and small, then

$$\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \delta_{ij}(1-\kappa) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{\beta} = (1-\kappa)\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

- Dividing by (1-$\boldsymbol{\kappa}$) and Taylor expanding ($\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ is small) give $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (1+\kappa)\boldsymbol{\beta}$



Magnification for the point mass lens

- From the point mass lens we have that (week 3): $\psi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{\theta_{\mathrm{E}}^2}{c^2} \ln \theta$
- Away from the origin of the point mass **κ** disappears so μ only depends on

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= -\frac{\theta_{\mathrm{E}}^2}{\theta^4}\left(\theta_x^2 - \theta_y^2\right) \\ \gamma_2 &= -\frac{2\theta_{\mathrm{E}}^2 \theta_x \theta_y}{\theta^4} \end{aligned}$$

(Exercise 4.1)

- Resulting in

$$\mu = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\theta_{\mathrm{E}}^4}{\theta^4}}$$

(Exercise 4.2)

- For perfect alignment β=0 the Einstein ring formally has μ → ∞
  - Practically neither source nor lens is ever a point mass
  - Total magnification is not infinite but large

Magnification for the Isothermal Sphere

- For the IS with a core we have that (week 4):

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \frac{\theta_0}{\theta^2}\left[\sqrt{\theta^2 + \theta_{\rm core}^2} - \theta_{\rm core}\right]\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

- But M($\boldsymbol{\theta}$), i.e. $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$, γ_1 and γ_2 are just (linear comb.) of first derivatives of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ so we can derive the magnification calculating these

$$\mu = \left[\left(1 - \frac{\theta_0}{2\sqrt{\theta^2 + \theta_{\rm core}^2}}\right)^2 - \frac{\theta_0^2\left(2\theta_{\rm core}\sqrt{\theta^2 + \theta_{\rm core}^2} - 2\theta_{\rm core}^2 - \theta^2\right)^2}{4\theta^4\left(\theta^2 + \theta_{\rm core}^2\right)}\right]^{-1}$$

- Using that

$$\kappa = \frac{\theta_0}{2\sqrt{\theta^2 + \theta_{\rm core}^2}}$$

$$\gamma^2 = \frac{\theta_0^2\left(2\theta_{\rm core}\sqrt{\theta^2 + \theta_{\rm core}^2} - 2\theta_{\rm core}^2 - \theta^2\right)^2}{4\theta^4\left(\theta^2 + \theta_{\rm core}^2\right)}$$

Magnification for the SIS

- If $\theta_{core} = 0$ in the expression of μ for the (C)IS we get that

$$\kappa \rightarrow \frac{\theta_0}{2|\theta|}$$

$$\gamma^2 \rightarrow \frac{\theta_0^2}{4\theta^2}$$

- Resulting in

$$\mu = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\theta_0}{|\theta|}}$$

- So if $|\theta| < \theta_0$ then $\mu < 0$
- For $\beta < \theta_0$ two images appear (week 4)

$$\theta_+ = \beta + \theta_0 \qquad \theta_- = \beta - \theta_0$$

- With

$$\mu(\theta_+) = +\frac{\theta_0 + \beta}{\beta}$$

$$\mu(\theta_-) = -\frac{\theta_0 - \beta}{\beta}$$



Dodelson2017

Caustics and Critical Curves Pt. 2

- The SIS with shear and convergence



gravitationallensing.pbworks.com

Caustics and Critical Curves Pt. 2

- For the CIS we were considering the case
- The number of images changes by 2 when crossing the caustic



Dodelson2017



- Calculating magnifications of images and scaling accordingly
- Absolute magnitude not known
- So the *observable* for is the flux ratios (not μ) for multiple images

Caustics and Critical Curves Pt. 2

- So what about all the quads (and doubles) we are seeing?
- Consequence of asymmetric mass distribution
  - The Singular Isothermal Ellipsoid (SIE) attempt to account for this
  - Roughly the SIS added an asymmetric potential (or external shear)



gravitationallensing.pbworks.com

Parity



- Critical curves are the dividing lines between
  - Images with $\mu = \det M < 0$ have *negative parity*
  - Images with $\mu = \det M > 0$ have *positive parity*



Symmetrical lens so bottom of tail touches connecting (dashed) line





All points are deflected with $\alpha > 0$

Symmetrical lens so also tail on connecting (solid) line $\rightarrow$ image flipped around (dashed) axis

The vertical axis flip:
An image closer to the lens (in the source plane) appear farther away in the lens plane
This flip is captured by $\mu<0$

Determining the Mass of Lenses

- The observable for magnification is the flux ratio
- This translates into a difference in magnitudes

$$m_1 - m_2 = -2.5\log_{10}\left(\frac{F_1}{F_2}\right) = -2.5\log_{10}(\mu)$$

- To directly detect magnification you want to compare $m_{intrinsic}$ & $m_{observed}$
- Lens is stationary (except for the sun and μlensing) so you want to compare
  - Magnitudes of a sample of galaxies being lensed
  - Magnitude of a similar sample of galaxies not being lensed
- Δm between these two m-distributions then estimate ~μ and hence M_{lens}
- However, numbers of strongly lensed ($\theta \lesssim \theta_E$) galaxies per lens is small
- Hence, you have to observe galaxies outside θ_E, i.e., weak lensing regime
- Increasing area to O(arcmin^2) pushes S/N above a few
  - Annuli give estimates of surface density $\Sigma_{lens} = \Sigma_{cr}\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ as a function of r

Determining the Mass of Lenses

- The position of multiple images, their magnification and morphology, can be used to constrain mass maps of lenses (no reference sample needed).



Treu+16

Kelly+15





Treu+16

Determining the Mass of Lenses

Mass (κ) maps for different lens models



Treu+16

Finding Lensed High-z Galaxies

- The magnification of sources enable detection of faint & distant sources
- High-z galaxies a faint both because of intrinsic brightness and distances
- Even the deepest Hubble images (m~29) do not reach these depths
- GL to the rescue: $\mu \sim 10$ implies $\Delta m = 2.5$ mag

Deepest HST imaging without lensing





Atek+15

Confirming High-z Galaxies

- The standard way to confirm high-z galaxies is Lyα in spectrum
- But the lensing geometry can also provide confirmation



Critical curves:
z = 1.3 (white)
z = 2.0
z = 3.6
z = 10.0



So in summary…

- Lensed sources are magnified, i.e. apparent fluxes increased (light focused)
- The magnification is as the inverse determinant of the Jacobian matrix

$$\frac{F(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{F(\boldsymbol{\beta})} = \mu \equiv \det M(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{\det \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}$$

- It can be expressed in terms of the convergence, **κ**, and the shear, γ

$$\mu = \frac{1}{(1-\kappa)^2 - \gamma^2} \quad ; \quad \gamma^2 \equiv \gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2$$



- The magnification for standard lens models are:
  - Point mass lens: $$\mu = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\theta_E^4}{\theta^4}}$$
  - SIS: $$\mu = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\theta_0}{|\theta|}}$$
  - CIS: $$\mu = \left[\left(1 - \frac{\theta_0}{2\sqrt{\theta^2 + \theta_{\rm core}^2}} \right)^2 - \frac{\theta_0^2 \left(2\theta_{\rm core}\sqrt{\theta^2 + \theta_{\rm core}^2} - 2\theta_{\rm core}^2 - \theta^2 \right)^2}{4\theta^4 \left(\theta^2 + \theta_{\rm core}^2 \right)} \right]^{-1}$$
- Magnification and geometry useful for lens mass measurements and modeling, and for faint object (high-z) searches.



PHY-765 SS18 Gravitational Lensing Week 6

Questions?



Last Week's Worksheet



This Week's Worksheet